Father alone is truly and properly God ; that he alone, in the proper sense, is supreme; that absolute supreme honour is due to him only; and that he, absolutely speaking, is the only God of the universe, the Son and Spirit being God, but only by the Father's concurrence with them, and their subordination and subjection to him. But to return to Mr. Wise: he next considers our Author's opinion about the resurrection, who, as appears from several passages of his Intellectual System^ thought, that the resurrection-body will not consist of the same substance with that which was buried; and that it will not be a body of flesh, but an ethereal one; and that the present body is only a seed of the resurrection. JEIowever, Mr. Wise shews from^other passages in his works, that 4ie has as plainly asserted the resurrection of the same numerical body, as in some places he has denied it.

In the year 1708, &c. Monsieur le Clerc gave large extracts of the Intellectual System in his Bibliotheque Choisie, torn. i. ii. iii. v. vii. viii. ix. which engaged him in a dispute with Monsieur Bayle, concerning Dr. Cud worth's notion of plastic natures. Monsieur Bayle, in his Continuation des Pensees diverses sur les Cometes,[a] had observed, that " the Atheists are very much perplexed, how to account for the formation of animals, which they ascribed to a cause which was not conscious of what it did, and yet followed a regular plan, without knowing according to what plan it went to work. But Dr; Cudworth's Plas-|ic Nature, and Dr. Grew's Vital Principle[11] are

* Tom. i. Sec. 21; '* See Dr. Nehenriali Grew'a Cosmologia

Sacra, printed at London, 1701, in folio.